

# Exhibit 31

1 Terry Rose Saunders (admitted *pro hac vice*)

2 THE SAUNDERS LAW FIRM

3 77 West Wacker Drive, Ste. 4800

4 Chicago, IL 60601

(312) 444-9656

5 [tsaunders@saunders-lawfirm.com](mailto:tsaunders@saunders-lawfirm.com)

6 Thomas A. Doyle (admitted *pro hac vice*)

7 THOMAS A. DOYLE LTD.

8 55 West Monroe Street, Ste. 3300

9 Chicago, IL 60603

(312) 479-5732

10 [tadoyle@thomasadoyle.com](mailto:tadoyle@thomasadoyle.com)

11 (Formerly appearing as Saunders & Doyle)

12 *Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs*

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
17 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 3:07-cv-5944

MDL No. 1917

18 **CLASS ACTION**

19 This Document Relates to:

20 All Indirect Purchaser Actions

21 **AMENDED DECLARATION OF TERRY  
ROSE SAUNDERS AND THOMAS A. DOYLE  
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'  
APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES,  
EXPENSES AND INCENTIVE AWARDS**

22 Judge: Honorable Samuel Conti  
Courtroom One, 17th Floor

1 We, Terry Rose Saunders and Thomas A. Doyle, declare as follows:

2 1. We are attorneys licensed to practice before the courts of Illinois, and from May  
3 2002 through August 31, 2011, we were partners in the law firm of Saunders & Doyle. Since  
4 September 1, 2011, Terry Rose Saunders has been the sole proprietor of The Saunders Law Firm.  
5 From September 1, 2011 through December 1, 2011, Thomas A. Doyle was associated with the  
6 firm of Futterman, Howard, Ashley & Weltman, P.C., and since December 2, 2011, Mr. Doyle has  
7 been the sole proprietor of Thomas A. Doyle Ltd. Mr. Doyle is also Of Counsel to Wexler Wallace  
8 LLP.

9 2. We have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration and, if called as  
10 witnesses, we could and would testify competently to them. We make this Declaration in support  
11 of the request of Saunders & Doyle, The Saunders Law Firm and Thomas A. Doyle Ltd.  
12 (individually and collectively "The Firm") for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation  
13 expenses, as set forth in Plaintiffs' Application for Attorneys' Fees, Expenses and Incentive  
14 Awards.

15 3. The Firm is counsel of record in this case, and represents plaintiffs William and  
16 Margo Stack, who filed the constituent case of *Stack v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.* (N.D. Cal.  
17 3:08-cv-1319-SC) on March 7, 2008, which was then consolidated into these MDL proceedings.

18 4. Brief descriptions of The Firm's principals are attached as Exhibit 1 and  
19 incorporated herein by reference.

20 5. Throughout the course of this litigation, the Firm has kept files contemporaneously  
21 documenting all time spent, including tasks performed, and expenses incurred, and transmitted  
22 reports on a regular basis to Lead Counsel. All of the time and expenses reported by The Firm  
23 were incurred for the benefit of the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs ("IPPs").

24 6. During the course of this litigation, The Firm has been involved in the following  
25 tasks and activities on behalf of the IPPs. All of this work was assigned and/or approved by Lead  
26 Counsel.

1                   **a. Deposition Preparation (108.8 Hours)**

2                   We were part of the team that helped prepare for, and conduct, the expert  
3                   discovery phase of this case. We prepared the analysis of, and drafted the  
4                   examination for, the expert depositions of two of the expert economists  
5                   retained by the Defendants (Dr. Edward Snyder of Yale and Dr. Darrell  
6                   Williams of Washington University). That work involved meeting with the  
7                   IPPs' economists at applEcon, reviewing all of the Rule 26 materials,  
8                   coordinating with Counsel for the other plaintiffs, and researching the  
9                   economic issues.

10                  We also prepared Dr. Janet Netz (the IPPs' principal testifying expert  
11                  witness) for her deposition in Ann Arbor, Michigan.

12                  **b. Document Review (97.9 Hours)**

13                  Under our supervision, The Firm's associate (Jason Krol) reviewed  
14                  documents that the Defendants had produced in discovery, in his capacity as  
15                  part of the team appointed for that review.

16                  **c. Experts – Work or Consult (82.6 Hours)**

17                  As part of the economic analysis of this industry, we worked on the third-  
18                  party discovery that was needed to collect CRT transaction data from  
19                  various manufacturers and sellers in the distribution chain for CRT  
20                  Products. The IPPs' expert economists at applEcon used this transaction  
21                  data in their expert analysis of the CRT industry. We worked with applEcon  
22                  to coordinate and follow up on that third-party discovery.

23                  We also worked with applEcon in connection with their Rule 26 Reports in  
24                  expert discovery, including providing feedback on applEcon's expert  
25                  analysis.

26                  We worked on follow-on projects involving the economic evidence in the  
27                  case. This included evaluating and advising on whether further depositions  
28

1 should be taken of the Defendants' experts (based on our review of the  
2 expert reports that they issued in late 2014).

3 **d. Attend Depositions – Conduct/Defend (35.2 Hours)**

4 We took the expert depositions of two of the expert economists retained by  
5 the Defendants (Dr. Edward Snyder of Yale and Dr. Darrell Williams of  
6 Washington University). Both of those expert depositions occurred in New  
7 York.

8 We also defended Dr. Janet Netz (the IPPs' principal testifying expert  
9 witness) at a deposition in Ann Arbor, Michigan.

10 **e. Motions/Pleadings and Related Client Meetings (13.6 Hours)**

11 We helped prepare and plead the early complaints in this action, and we  
12 assisted with early pleading and investigations issues in the case.

13 **f. Draft Discovery Requests or Responses (3.7 Hours)**

14 We worked with our clients to gather their transaction records and to  
15 respond to questionnaires regarding their CRT purchase information.

16 **g. Research (1.5 Hours)**

17 One of the difficult issues in this case involved how the claims in this case  
18 were affected by the Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act (FTAIA).  
19 During November of 2014 – at a critical juncture in this case, both for  
20 prosecuting the IPPs' claims in this case and for resolving the IPPs' claims  
21 in negotiations – similar FTAIA issues came up for oral argument in the  
22 United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit in the case of  
23 *Motorola Mobility LLC v. AU Optronics Corp.* (14-8003). Because that  
24 argument occurred in Chicago, Mr. Doyle attended that argument and  
25 provided a prompt and detailed report to Lead Counsel. Ultimately, that oral  
26 argument led to the decision in *Motorola Mobility LLC v. AU Optronics,*  
27 *Inc.*, 773 F.3d 826 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2015).

1           7.       The schedule attached as Exhibit 2, and incorporated herein, is a detailed summary  
2 of the amount of time spent by The Firm's partners, attorneys and professional support staff who  
3 were involved in this litigation. It does not include any time devoted to preparing this Declaration  
4 or otherwise pertaining to the Joint Fee Petition. The lodestar calculation is based on The Firm's  
5 historical billing rates in effect at the time services were performed. Exhibit 2 was prepared from  
6 contemporaneous time records regularly prepared and maintained by The Firm. Those records  
7 have been provided to Lead Counsel and we authorize them to be submitted for inspection by the  
8 Court if necessary. The Firm's hourly rates included in Exhibit 2 were at the time the work was  
9 performed the usual and customary hourly rates charged for services in similar complex litigation.

10           8.       The total number of hours reasonably expended on this litigation by The Firm from  
11 inception to May 31, 2015 is 343.3 hours. The total lodestar for The Firm at historical rates is  
12 \$147,526.50. The total lodestar for The Firm at current rates is \$156,340.00. Expense items are  
13 billed separately and are not duplicated in our lodestar.

14           9.       The Firm's expenses incurred in litigating this action are reflected in The Firm's  
15 books and records. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, invoices,  
16 receipts, check records and other source materials and accurately reflect the expenses incurred.  
17 The Firm's expense records are available for inspection by the Court if necessary.

18           10.      The Firm incurred a total of \$31,022.18 in unreimbursed expenses, all of which  
19 were reasonable and necessary for the prosecution of this litigation. Of this amount, (1) \$25,000.00  
20 was for assessment payments for common litigation expenses; (2) \$3,335.88 was directly paid to a  
21 court reporter (Veritext) for transcript fees from expert depositions – which are common litigation  
22 expenses – but for which Lead Counsel has not reimbursed The Firm; and (3) \$2,686.30 was for  
23 non-common litigation expenses that The Firm incurred (such as travel, meals and lodging,  
24 copying, legal research, telephone, etc.). A summary of those expenses by category is attached as  
25 Exhibit 3.

1 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, we declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true  
2 and correct.

3 Executed this 21<sup>st</sup> day of August, 2015, in Chicago, Illinois.

4  
5 /s/ Terry Rose Saunders

/s/ Thomas A. Doyle

6  
7 Terry Rose Saunders

Thomas A. Doyle

8 THE SAUNDERS LAW FIRM  
9 77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 4800  
Chicago, IL 60601  
10 (312) 444-9656

THOMAS A. DOYLE, LTD.  
55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3300  
Chicago, IL 60603  
(312) 261-6194

# Exhibit 1



**THE SAUNDERS LAW FIRM**  
77 West Wacker Drive, Ste 4800  
Chicago, IL 60601  
(312) 444-9656 (ph)  
(312) 277-5205 (fax)

**TERRY ROSE SAUNDERS**  
[tsaunders@saunders-lawfirm.com](mailto:tsaunders@saunders-lawfirm.com)

Ms. Saunders has concentrated her law practice in the areas of securities, class action, antitrust and other complex business litigation in state and federal courts throughout the country. For thirteen years, Ms. Saunders represented a broad range of individuals, small businesses and public corporations in securities fraud, antitrust, breach of contract and other complex business litigation as an associate and then partner of Jenner & Block in Chicago (1975-1986), and an associate at Williams & Connolly in Washington, D.C. (1973-1975). Since 1987, Ms. Saunders has primarily represented plaintiffs in individual and class actions involving securities fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, antitrust, breach of contract, and business tort litigation.

Ms. Saunders has been lead trial counsel in both the state and federal courts of Illinois and the Delaware Court of Chancery and has argued numerous appeals in the Illinois Appellate Court and the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. She has also testified as an expert witness on issues relating to securities law, class actions and attorneys fees.

Ms. Saunders is the co-author of *Securities Fraud: Litigating Under Rule 10b-5* (Lexis-Nexis), a practical guide to securities litigation published in 1989 and updated annually through 2001. She has participated in seminars and teaching programs on securities class actions, fiduciary duties and trial practice, including participation as a panelist in the Ray Garrett, Jr., Corporate and Securities Law Institute, Northwestern University School of Law April 1997; as an instructor at the Edwin F. Mandel Clinic of The University of Chicago Law School, Intensive Trial Practice Workshop; and as a panelist and moderator of ABA programs on class actions, fiduciary duty law of Delaware business entities, securities litigation and trial practice. Ms. Saunders served as co-Chair of the ABA Litigation Section's Consumer and Personal Rights Litigation Committee (2000-02), and the Class Action and Derivative Suits Committee (1992-95). She chaired the Chicago Bar Association's Class Litigation Committee (1987-88).

**Examples of Recent Cases:**

- Appellate Counsel in an action challenging provisions of a state statute as violating the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.
- Plaintiffs' Counsel in an MDL antitrust class action on behalf of consumers involving price-fixing claims against manufacturers of LCD panels used in televisions, laptops and computer monitors.
- Co-Lead Counsel in a nationwide class action on behalf of accountholders at a major brokerage firm alleging that the firm breached its contract and its fiduciary duties in its investment of client funds.

- Co-Lead Counsel representing shareholders in a derivative lawsuit that challenged the executive compensation at a major Delaware corporation in the travel and hospitality business.
- Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel in a Multi-District Litigation proceeding involving claims under the Fair Credit Reporting Act.

Among other matters, the firm is currently representing clients in antitrust class actions brought on behalf of end purchasers of consumer electronics and a class action against a major banking institution alleging breach of contract and breach of fiduciary duty on behalf of certain accountholders.

**Practice Areas**

Securities Litigation

Class Actions

Complex Business Litigation

Antitrust Litigation

Appellate Practice

**Education**

J.D., New York University Law School, 1973

Honors: Cum Laude, Order of the Coif, Arthur Garfield Hays Fellow

B.A., Barnard College, 1964

**Admitted to Practice**

United States Supreme Court (1983)

United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois (1976)

United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit (1976)

Illinois (1976)

District of Columbia (1973)

**Publications and Presentations**

Co-Author (with Thomas E. Patton), *Securities Fraud: Litigating Under Rule 10b-5* (Aspen Publishers, 1989), updated annually through 2001

Faculty, Ray Garrett, Jr. Corporate and Securities Law Institute,  
Northwestern University School of Law, April 1997

Instructor, Intensive Trial Practice Workshop, Edwin F. Mandel Clinic,  
University of Chicago Law School

Panelist and Moderator, American and Chicago Bar Association programs on class actions, fiduciary duty law, securities litigation and trial practice

**Honors and Awards**

Robert B. McKay Award, New York University School of Law, 1994

Marquis Who's Who in America, Who's Who in American Law,  
Who's Who Among Women in the Law

Martindale-Hubbell AV-rated

**Past Employment**

Private Practice, Chicago, 1987 – to date

Jenner & Block, 1975-1986

Williams & Connolly, 1973-1975

## Thomas A. Doyle

Thomas A. Doyle, Ltd.  
55 West Monroe, Suite 3300  
Chicago, IL 60603  
(312) 479-5732 (cell) (312) 277-1992 (fax)  
[tadoyle@thomasadoyle.com](mailto:tadoyle@thomasadoyle.com), [tad@wexlerwallace.com](mailto:tad@wexlerwallace.com)

Tom focuses his practice on class action litigation, with most of his work in antitrust and employment litigation. He is active in several antitrust class actions, including *In re Niaspan Antitrust Litigation* (MDL 2460, E.D.Pa.) and *In re Suboxone Antitrust Litigation* (MDL 2445, E.D.Pa.). He has litigated class actions in state and federal courts throughout the United States, recovering millions of dollars for his clients. Tom has been able to pursue claims efficiently and effectively, helping large groups of people recover for injuries that they have suffered from unlawful conduct by others. As a result of his work, he is often asked to lecture and write articles on topics relating to class litigation as well as alternative dispute resolution. Tom is also Of Counsel to Wexler Wallace LLP.

### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Twenty-five years of complex litigation experience, mostly in class actions in federal courts. Claims involve antitrust, employee rights, contracts, fiduciary duties, consumer protection, and securities laws. Recent and representative matters include:

- Lead Counsel in a certified class action, representing Illinois employees seeking overtime pay from a retail bank
- Counsel in several employment discrimination lawsuits, including litigation against a restaurant chain (national origin claim), a drug manufacturer (gender claim), and a publisher (disability claim)
- Counsel in several MDL class actions representing union benefit plans in antitrust litigation relating to the suppression of generic competition for prescription drugs
- Counsel for a prisoner bringing a Due Process claim against the administrators of a Wisconsin prison, where the prisoner received 8 months of solitary confinement
- Counsel in an MDL antitrust class action, representing consumers in price-fixing claims involving LCD panels (in televisions, laptops, and computer monitors)
- Liaison Counsel in an MDL class action, representing consumers bringing claims under the FCRA against a credit reporting agency

### RECENT NOTEWORTHY DECISIONS

*Yapp v. Astellas Pharma Global Dev't*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 34838 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 20, 2015)  
*In re Suboxone Antitrust Litig.*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 167204 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 3, 2014)  
*In re Niaspan Antitrust Litig.*, 42 F. Supp. 3d 735 (E.D. Pa. 2014)  
*Gomez v. PNC Bank N.A.*, 306 F.R.D. 156 (N.D. Ill. 2014)  
*Marion v Columbia Correctional Inst.*, 559 F.3d 693 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2009)

**PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYMENT HISTORY**

Wexler Wallace LLP, Chicago (2012-present)  
Thomas A. Doyle, Ltd., Chicago (2011-present)  
Futterman Howard Ashley & Weltman, PC, Chicago (2011)  
Saunders & Doyle, Chicago (2002-2011)  
Lovells, Chicago (2000-2002)  
Saunders & Monroe, Chicago (1990-2000)

**RECENT PUBLICATIONS & PRESENTATIONS**

Panelist, "Circuit Court Update," Committee on ADR's Mid-Winter Mtg. (ABA Sec. of Labor & Empl. Law), Rancho Mirage, CA, February, 2015

Author, "Inadvertent Misstatements Regarding Citizenship: Immigration Law Sets Traps for the Unwary," 27 DCBA Brief 2, at 32-35 (November, 2014)

Panelist, "The Design and Implementation of Workplace Civility and Workplace Bullying Policies," Committee on ADR's Mid-Winter Mtg. (ABA Sec. of Labor & Empl. Law), Ft. Lauderdale, FL, February, 2014

Author, "Competing Concerns in Employment Litigation: How Courts Are Managing Discovery of an Employee's Immigration Status," 28 ABA J.Lab. & Emp.L. 405 (2013), available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2324555>

Panelist, "When Arbitration Has Collateral Consequences," 15th Annual Spring Conference (ABA Sec. of Dispute Resolution), Chicago, IL, April, 2013

Author and Speaker, "Who is the Client," 29th Annual Carl A. Warns Jr. Labor & Employment Law Inst., Univ. of Louisville's Brandeis School of Law, June, 2012

Author, "Protecting Nonparty Class Members in Class Arbitrations," 25 ABA J.Lab. & Emp.L. 25 (2009), available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1586500>; chosen as one of "The Best Articles Published by the ABA" in 27 GPSolo 38 (September, 2010)

Author, "Residual Funds in Class Action Settlements: Using *Cy Pres* Awards to Promote Access to Justice," 57 The Federal Lawyer 6, at 26-29 (July, 2010), available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1586500>

**PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT**

Illinois Supreme Court's Access to Justice Commission, Forms Committee (2014-present)

Latinos Progresando, Chicago, Board of Directors (2013-present)

Chicago Bar Foundation  
Alumni Council (2015); Board of Directors (2006-2012); Lincoln Circle (2005-present); Grants Committee (2010-2012); *Cy Pres* Subcommittee (2009-present)

Chicago Bar Association  
Committee on Class Litigation; Kogan Media Awards Committee (2014-present)

National Employment Lawyers Association (2013-present)

American Bar Association  
Co-Chair of the Committee on ADR, Sec. of Labor & Empl. Law (2010-2013);  
American Bar Foundation, Fellow (2009-present)

Helping Hand Rehabilitation Center, Countryside, IL, Board of Directors (2005-2008)

**EDUCATION**

University of Illinois College of Law, J.D., 1990  
Bradley University, B.S., *cum laude*, 1987

**ADMITTED TO PRACTICE**

State of Illinois (1990)  
Northern District of Illinois (General Bar, 1990, and Trial Bar, 1998); Central District of  
Illinois (2004); and District of Colorado (2015)  
Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit (1991)  
United States Supreme Court (1996)

## **Exhibit 2**

[illegible]



## TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

### INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

Firm Name	Saunders & Doyle (and successor firms)
Reporting Year	2008

Name/Status	Hourly Rate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Hours	Lodestar
Terry Rose Saunders (P)	\$ 575.00	0.0	0.0	0.7	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	4.5	\$ 2,587.50
Thomas A. Doyle (P)	\$ 390.00	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.2	0.0	0.0	6.7	\$ 2,613.00
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$ -
		0.0	0.0	0.9	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0	0.0	11.2	\$ 5,200.50

## TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

### INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

Firm Name	Saunders & Doyle (and successor firms)
Reporting Year	2009

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

## TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

### INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>Saunders &amp; Doyle (and successor firms)</b>
<b>Reporting Year</b>	<b>2012</b>

[illegible]

[illegible]

## TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

### INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>Saunders &amp; Doyle (and successor firms)</b>
<b>Reporting Year</b>	<b>2014</b>

[illegible]

## TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

### INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>Saunders &amp; Doyle (and successor firms)</b>
<b>Reporting Year</b>	<b>2015</b>

[illegible]



## IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917

TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY  
INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

Firm Name	Saunders & Doyle (and successor firms)
Reporting Year	Inception through Present

Year		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Hours	Lodestar
2007		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	\$ -
2008		0.0	0.0	0.9	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0	0.0	11.2	\$ 5,200.50
2009		0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	8.0	\$ 3,117.50
2010		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	15.1	\$ 2,986.50
2011		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.9	13.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	111.4	\$ 25,712.00
2012		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.8	\$ 20,400.00
2013		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	3.0	\$ 1,675.00
2014		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	108.8	35.2	0.0	8.3	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	153.8	\$ 88,435.00
2015		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	\$ -
		0.0	0.0	3.6	3.7	108.8	35.2	97.9	82.6	1.5	10.0	0.0	0.0	343.3	\$ 147,526.50

**STATUS:**

(P) Partner  
(OC) Of Counsel  
(A) Associate  
(LC) Law Clerk  
(PL) Paralegal  
(I) Investigator

**CATEGORIES:**

1 Attorney Meeting/Strategy  
2 Court Appearance  
3 Client Meeting  
4 Draft Discovery Requests or Responses  
5 Deposition Preparation  
6 Attend Deposition - Conduct/Defend  
7 Document Review  
8 Experts - Work or Consult  
9 Research  
10 Motions/Pleadings  
11 Settlement  
12 Trial

## **Exhibit 3**

## IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917

EXPENSE SUMMARY  
INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>Saunders &amp; Doyle (and successor firms)</b>
<b>Reporting Year</b>	<b>Inception through Present</b>

TYPE OF EXPENSE		TOTAL
Assessments		\$ 25,000.00
Outside Copies		\$ 11.80
In-house Reproduction /Copies		\$ 6.37
Court Costs & Filing Fees		
Court Reporters 7 Transcripts		\$ 3,335.88
Computer Research		\$ 57.05
Telephone & Facsimile		\$ 9.87
Postage/Express Delivery/Courier		\$ 104.33
Professional Fees (investigator, accountant, etc.)		
Experts		
Witness / Service Fees		
Travel: Airfare		\$ 704.40
Travel: Lodging/Meals		\$ 1,348.43
Travel: Other		\$ 279.80
Car Rental/Cabfare/Parking		\$ 164.25
Other Expenses		
		\$ 31,022.18